

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/974,749	10/09/2001	Chun-Su Yuan	ARCD:347US/MBW	7044	
75	90 11/22/2002				
MARK B WILSON			EXAMINER		
FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI LLP 600 CONGRESS AVENUE			SRIVASTAVA, KAILASH C		
SUITE 2400 AUSTIN, TX 78701			ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
11001111, 171	70701		1651		
			DATE MAILED: 11/22/2002	Ć	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summan	09/974,749	YUAN, CHUN-SU			
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit			
	DR. Kailash C. Srivastava	1651			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondenc address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 O</u>	october 2001 .				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-50 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) <u>1-50</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept		niner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
 a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 					
Attachment(s)					
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal Pa	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)			
C Patent and Trademada Office					

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-50 are pending.

Election / Restriction

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Group I, consisting of claims 1-22 and 30-38 drawn to a method to treat diabetes or hyperglycemia, classified under Class 424, subclass 725, for example.
 - Group II, consisting of claims 23-26 drawn to a composition, classified under Class 424, subclass 728, for example.
 - Group III, consisting of claims 27-29 drawn to another method, classified under Class 514, subclass 65 or 101, for example.
 - Group IV, consisting of claims 39-41 drawn to a third method, classified under Class 514, subclass 183, for example.
 - Group V, consisting of claims 42-50 drawn to a fourth method, classified under Class 424, subclass 9.2, for example.
- 3. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions in Groups I and III to V are unrelated to each other because they are directed to different inventions that are not connected in design, operation and/or effect. These inventions are independent since they are not disclosed as capable of use together. They have different modes of operation, they have different functions, and/or they have different effects. One would not have to practice the various methods at the same time to practice just one method alone (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case, for example inventions disclosed in claims encompassing inventions in Group I are directed to a method to treat diabetes or hyperglycemia, while invention encompassing claims for group V is an assay to screen bioactive compounds from plants belonging to Genus *Panax*.

Invention in Group II is related to inventions in Groups I and III to V as product and use thereof. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can

be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product [MPEP § 806.05(h)]. The methods of inventions encompassed in Groups I and III-V do not have to be accomplished with a compound obtained from a berry of the plants belonging to Genus *Panax*, rather they are also accomplished with a number of synthetic chemicals or pharmaceuticals available in the market place. Similarly, product of invention in Group II for e.g., has numerous materially different uses than those claimed. For e.g., as a general tonic (see for e.g., Gruenwald, J. et al (eds.), PDR for Herbal Medicines. 1998. Medical Economics company, Montvale, N.J., Pg. 1009, Column 2, Lines 8-11).

The inventions discussed above are independent and distinct, each from the other. They have acquired a separate status in the art as a separate subject for inventive effect and require independent searches. The search for each one of the above inventions is not coextensive particularly with regard to the literature search. Further, a reference that would anticipate the invention of one group would not necessarily anticipate or even make obvious another group. Finally, the consideration for patentability is different in each case. Thus, it would be an undue burden to examine all of the above inventions in one application.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification (i.e., class and subclass), and their recognized diverse subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Species Election

- 4. This application contains claims directed to different compositions/methods comprised of a variety of ingredients. Therefore, if the applicant elects Groups I, III or IV above, the applicant must also make election of species by electing a single species from each of the following categories:
 - a. Only one route, between parenteral or alimentary to administer the composition,
 - A composition comprising only one active compound among ginsenoside, or ginsenoside-free compound.

- 5. However, if the applicant elects the claims encompassing invention in Group V above, the applicant must also make election of species by electing a single species from the following categories:
 - A composition comprising only one active compound among ginsenoside, or ginsenoside-free compound.
- 6. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement is traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species [MPEP § 809.02(a)].

- 7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention and species, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Kailash C. Srivastava whose telephone number is (703) 605-1196. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 7:30 A.M. to 6:00 P.M. (Eastern Standard or Daylight Savings Time).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Wityshyn, can be reached on (703) 308-4743 Monday through Thursday. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3014.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196

Kailash C. Srivastava, Ph.D. Patent Examiner Art Unit 1651 (703) 605-1196

November 20, 2002

Jon P. Weber, Ph.D. Primary Examiner